



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,765	07/27/2001	Heinrich Walter	225MU/50233	7471
7590	12/08/2003			EXAMINER MEEKS, TIMOTHY HOWARD
CROWELL & MORING, L.L.P. P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT 1762	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/915,765	WALTER, HEINRICH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy H. Meeks	1762	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

The amendment filed on 29 November 2003 in response to the Office Action mailed on 29 May 2003 has been fully considered. Claims 10-17 remain withdrawn from further consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention and claims 1-9 remain under consideration.

Withdrawn Rejections

The rejections over USP 6,120,843 are withdrawn under 35 USC 103(c) in view of applicants' statement that the patent and the instant claims were commonly assigned at the time the invention was made and in view of applicants perfection of foreign priority which removes USP 6,120,843 as prior art under 35 USC 102(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 2328219 (GB '219) in view of Benden et al. (4,148,275).

GB '219 discloses a method for applying a chromium diffusion coating to a component, such as a turbine blade, comprising providing a mixture of chromium granules and an activator in a chamber having an inert gas atmosphere along with the components to be coated, heating the mixture to form a gaseous coating mixture including chromium chloride, and exposing the entire

Art Unit: 1762

surface of the article to the gas mixture to form a chromium diffusion coating thereon (pages 4-5, 8, 10, 12, and example 1).

GB '219 does not explicitly disclose a hollow turbine blade or coating internal surfaces thereof. However, because Benden et al. disclose at col. 2, lines 1-8 that it is desirable to coat internal passages of turbine blades such as cooling holes with a chromium coating to provide oxidation and/or corrosion resistance, it would have been obvious to coat turbine blades having internal cooling holes in the GB '219 process so as to provide a chromium diffusion coating to such internal surfaces and provide corrosion and/or oxidation protection to those surfaces. One of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation that the GB '219 process would provide chromium coatings to such internal surfaces as it is conducted in a flowing gas atmosphere, GB '219 discloses that the coatings are provided to the **entire** surface of the component and the gas would flow into the cooling holes as the thermal convection of GB '219 would act to force the gas into the internal portions of the article as well.

With respect to claims 2 and 3, the mixture used in example 1 is composed of 99% chromium granules and 1% ammonium chloride activator. With respect to claim 4, the mixture is heated to 1140 C which is "approximately 1200 C". With respect to claim 5, at least some automatic dissipation of the coating gas from some points above the component pictured in Figure 1 of GB '219 would occur automatically due to gravity. Although other forces may be in effect, gravity is also a force affecting flow of the gas. Thickness of the coating in the example is 70 microns. The chromium amount of example 1 is above the range of claim 9, however, since the chromium coating provides oxidation and/or corrosion resistance, the amount of chromium in the coating clearly affects the ability of the coating to do so. Therefore, to adjust

this result effective variable to values in the claimed through routine experimentation for optimization would have been obvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy H. Meeks whose telephone number is (703) 308-3816. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs.(6-6:30), Fri.(6:30-10:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Timothy H. Meeks
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1762

2nf
December 4, 2003